Recognising and Promoting Staff Expertise

The UKPSF, HEA Fellowship and CPD Frameworks
Context

• Revised UKPSF
  - four descriptors
  - four HEA Fellowship categories aligned with these
  - more explicit guidance

• HESA returns

• Fellowship as a national portable asset

• Institutional profiling

• Increasing number of accredited CPD schemes anchored to UKPSF
The UK Professional Standards Framework
The UKPSF

• Describes the professional role of teaching and supporting learning in HE

• A practitioner perspective

• Expressed as Dimensions:
  • Areas of activity
  • Core knowledge
  • Professional values

• Four broad Descriptors
Areas of Activity
Undertaken by teachers and supporters of learning within HE

Dimensions

Professional Values
Those undertaking these activities should embrace and exemplify

Core Knowledge
Needed to carry out these activities at the appropriate level
Areas of Activity

• Design and plan learning activities and/or programmes of study
• Teach and/or support learning
• Assess and give feedback to learners
• Develop effective learning environments and approaches to student support and guidance
• Engage in CPD, incorporating research, scholarship and the evaluation of professional practice
Core Knowledge

• Subject material

• Appropriate methods for teaching and learning

• How students learn
  • Generally
  • Within the subject/discipline

• Use and value of appropriate learning technologies

• Methods for evaluating the effectiveness of teaching

• Implications of QA&E for practice
Professional Values

• Respect for individual learners and diverse learning communities

• Promote participation in HE and equality of opportunity for learners

• Use evidence-informed approaches and outcomes from research, scholarship and CPD

• Acknowledge the wider context in which HE operates, recognising the implications for professional practice
UKPSF Descriptors

• D1 for those with a limited teaching portfolio/responsibility
  - aligned with Associate Fellow

• D2 increasingly seen as early career recognition
  - aligned with Fellow

• D3 and D4 used to articulate expertise, effectiveness and impact
  - aligned with Senior and Principal Fellow respectively

• UKPSF beginning to inform progression, promotion, reward and recognition processes within institutions
Institutional CPD Schemes
CADENZA

"a virtuoso solo passage inserted into a movement in a concerto"
ASPIRE

“Accrediting Staff Professionalism in Research-Led Education”
A diversity of approaches:

*From formally taught accredited provision:*

- Credit-bearing individual modules/programmes at D1
- PGCerts at D2 for new lecturers, some with embedded D1 opportunities

*To include the informally caught:*

- APR and accelerated progression/exemption on PGCert
- Signposting of future development
- Routes to recognition for more experienced staff
- Practice-based evidence of effectiveness
- Accredited CPD schemes that integrate and cohere elements of provision
Perceived Benefits

Wider reach

- PGCerts limited in scope
- needs of more experienced staff addressed in more appropriate ways
- CPD enabled and expected

Recognition

- of development of skills, understanding, knowledge and effectiveness

Reward

- for engaging in wider developmental practices and strategic enhancement

Progression

- beyond induction and probation
Characteristics of CPD schemes

• Interest and aspiration driven
• Individually constructed submissions
• Applicants make a case to an internal recognition panel
• Judgment processes may differ between Descriptors
• Applicants are expected to keep appropriate evidence of effectiveness
Features of Good CPD Schemes

- Integrated
  - in processes
  - in practice
- Well understood
  - by applicants
  - by those making the judgements
  - by senior managers everywhere it operates
- Externality
- Support structures and resources
HEA CPD Initiative 2010 - 2011

Northern Group
Sheffield Hallam
Edinburgh
Durham
West Scotland
Salford

Southern Group
Exeter
Southampton
Falmouth
Bedford
Arts University Bournemouth

Accredited
In system
Work in progress
HEA CPD Initiative 2011 - 2012

Northern Group
Glasgow Caledonian
York St John
Ulster
LIPA/Rose Bruford

Midland Group
Aston
Nottingham Trent
Staffordshire
Worcester

Southern Group
Essex
University of West London
Canterbury Christ Church
Open University

- Accredited
- In system
- Work in progress
Accredited CPD Schemes

Descriptors 1 to 4

Aston
Glasgow Caledonian
Robert Gordon
Cardiff Metropolitan
East London
Essex
Exeter
Nottingham Trent
Oxford Brookes
Plymouth
Southampton
Staffordshire
West London
West Scotland
York St John
University of the Arts, London
Bradford
Greenwich
(18)

Descriptors 1 to 3

Cumbria
Central Lancashire
Chester
Derby
Glamorgan
Leeds Metropolitan
Lincoln
Sunderland
Teesside
UCL
(10)

Descriptors 1 & 2

Glyndwr
Kent
Roehampton
Sheffield Hallam
(4)
### CPD Schemes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accredited</th>
<th>Almost Complete</th>
<th>Work in Progress</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32 institutional schemes</td>
<td>Bedford</td>
<td>Aberystwyth/Bangor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Canterbury Christ Church</td>
<td>Anglia Ruskin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Edinburgh Napier</td>
<td>Birmingham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Falmouth</td>
<td>Birmingham City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glasgow</td>
<td>Coventry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LIPA/Rose Bruford</td>
<td>Durham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Open University</td>
<td>Edinburgh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>Liverpool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ulster</td>
<td>Manchester</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

QMU, London

Newcastle

Worcester
Accreditation
Accreditation

• Accreditation is a subscription-only benefit
• Accreditation allows institutions to confer Academy Fellowships
• Fellowship fees are a subscription benefit
• Accreditation is now institutionally focused
General Principles

General principles guide accreditors in their recognition and accreditation judgments:

To help us maintain:

• The status of descriptors
• Consistency of judgments

To help us address:

• Sector feedback on accessibility, diversity etc.
• Sector feedback on issues around role, job description, status.

To help us reflect:

• The spirit of the framework
• The ways in which the framework is used by the sector
Guiding Principles

• Applications should relate to the positive impact on student learning
• At D3 and D4, individual must show sustained record of success/achievement
• Claims should evidence **successful** engagement with appropriate dimensions of UKPSF
• Claims should not be based on role, job description or status of individual
• Outcomes, impact and influence (and reflection of evidence of same) much more important than role activities per se
Assessment processes should be

- Robust
- Transparent
- Equitable
- Made against the UKPSF

And have externality and moderation as part of QA&E
The UKPSF and your CPD plans

Contact the HEA for advice and guidance