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1 Introduction

1.1 Preamble

1.1.1 The University of Leicester’s Research Code of Conduct (‘the Code’) provides guidelines for good practice in research (as defined below), and guidance on situations involving misconduct in research.

1.1.2 The University is committed to maintaining the highest standards of rigour and integrity in the conduct of its research. The University expects all those involved in research to observe these standards and to embed good practice in all aspects of their work, including the training of new researchers. **Good research practice is about the way in which research is planned, funded and conducted, results are recorded and reported, and the fruits of research are disseminated, applied and exploited.** Good research practice will allow ready verification of the quality and integrity of research data, provide a transparent basis for investigating allegations of bad practice or fraud, and lead to better research. The University, its staff, students and collaborators all share in the responsibility for promoting and verifying good practice and creating an ethos of professionalism and integrity in the University’s research culture.

1.1.3 The Code is intended to provide a clear and public statement of the University’s research policies and practices. The Code sets out the obligations on researchers, in all disciplines, to be aware of the policies governing research at the University and to comply with institutional and regulatory requirements. This document should be read in conjunction with the relevant
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1.1.4 The University’s Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee is responsible for establishing and reviewing policy guidelines for the proper conduct of research, including regularly reviewing and updating this Code to ensure it takes into account current guidelines and relevant legislation. Formal approval of the Code is given by Senate.

1.1.5 Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will oversee light-touch reviews approximately annually to include minor revisions and updating of references. Where the need for more major revisions to all or part of the Code is identified, for example to reflect changes to legislation or changes to funder regulations, Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will be oversee these and seek approval from Senate.

1.2 Definitions

1.2.1 In this Code of Conduct, a phrase where the word **MUST** is in bold indicates a **mandatory** requirement expected of all researchers by the University or under UK law or external regulations. Where **SHOULD** is in bold, it indicates a course of action that is recommended as best practice. Where **MAY** is in bold, it indicates a course of action which may be taken at the discretion of the appropriate person or persons (See also 2.3.3).

1.2.2 In this Code the term ‘**Researcher**’ or ‘**You**’ indicates an individual involved in research, including, but not limited to:

- staff in any of the University’s job families (teaching and research, technical and experimental, management and administration, and community and operational), including Honorary Staff and Emeritus Professors;
- staff visiting from other institutions undertaking or supervising research at or for the University; and
- undergraduate and postgraduate students (both taught and research), whether registered here or on temporary placement.

This term also covers those involved in fundraising providing consultancy, innovation, commercial and analytical services and those involved in the setting up and running of University spin-out companies.

1.2.3 In this Code ‘**Research**’ is defined according to the internationally accepted OECD Frascati Manual as "Creative work undertaken on a systematic basis in order to increase the stock of knowledge, including knowledge of man [sic], culture and society, and the use of this stock of knowledge to devise new applications". This includes, but is not limited to, funded and unfunded research projects, consulting within and outside the University, and exploitation and knowledge transfer activities. This Code applies to all research and consultancy activity undertaken by University staff and students in collaboration with other organisations, such as collaborative research projects, and to individuals from other organisations who are undertaking or supervising research at or for the University.

1.2.4 ‘**Principal Investigator**’ or ‘**PI**’ refers to the lead investigator – generally the main holder of the research funding or leader of a project or, for multi-institution projects, the University of Leicester lead investigator. This definition includes the defined role of Chief Investigator of a Clinical Study.

1.2.5 ‘**Supervisor**’ covers any person or persons who are responsible for oversight of other researchers.

1.2.6 ‘**Head of Department**’ refers to the Head of the academic unit to which a researcher belongs, which can include Schools, Departments,

---

1 Available from [http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/policies/ordinances/statutes](http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/policies/ordinances/statutes)
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Research Institutes and other academic divisions within the University.

1.2.7 ‘Student’ covers any person who has registered on a programme of study with the University, which can include undergraduate, postgraduate taught and postgraduate research programmes. This also includes students from elsewhere visiting as part of an exchange or similar programme. A ‘Research Student’ is a student who is registered on a research-based programme of study, such as an MPhil, professional doctorate or PhD.

1.2.8 ‘Research Funder’ covers any organisation or person which provides research funding to the University, and can include research councils, public sector organisations, charities, non-governmental organisations, commercial and business organisations and government agencies whether located within the UK or elsewhere.

1.2.9 ‘Research Funding’ covers all forms of external funding in support of research and enterprise activities including research grants and contracts, philanthropic donations, consultancy and industrial research contracts and grants in kind providing access to external expertise, facilities, equipment, etc.

2 Principles

2.1 The Concordat to Support Research Integrity

2.1.1 As a supporter of the Concordat to Support Research Integrity\(^3\), the University has made five commitments to strengthen the integrity of our research. We are committed to:

- Maintaining the highest standards of rigour and integrity in all aspects of research;
- Ensuring that research is conducted according to appropriate ethical, legal and professional frameworks, obligations and standards;
- Supporting a research environment that is underpinned by a culture of integrity and

based on good governance, best practice and support for the development of researchers;
- Using transparent, robust and fair processes to deal with allegations of research misconduct should they arise; and
- Working together to strengthen the integrity of research, and reviewing progress regularly and openly.

2.1.2 Each of the commitments in the Concordat places certain responsibilities upon the staff, collaborators and students who conduct research for or at the University, and upon the University as both an employer and trainer of researchers and as a funder of research.

2.1.3 The Code aims to provide clear guidelines to anyone conducting research for or at the University, to ensure that they are able to meet their responsibilities under the Concordat and other ethical, legal and professional standards.

2.2 Guiding values and principles

2.2.1 You must maintain the highest standards of integrity in the conduct of research, guided by the values of honesty, rigour, transparency and open communication, care and respect, and academic freedom.

2.2.2 Research activity must be based on the following guiding principles:

- Research within the University should pursue new knowledge and understanding.
- Research methods and results should be open to scrutiny and debate unless they are subject to a contract or similar which requires confidentiality.
- You should, in all aspects of your research:
  - Demonstrate integrity and professionalism;
  - Behave ethically and responsibly;
  - Ensure the accuracy of your results;
  - Observe fairness and equity;
  - Avoid conflicts of interest; and
  - Ensure the safety, welfare and dignity of those involved in or associated with your research.

---

\(^3\) Available from http://www.universitiesuk.ac.uk/highereducation/Pages/Theconcordattosupportresearchintegrity.aspx
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2.2.3 Research must be conducted with due regard to any legitimate internal or external constraints or procedures which may apply, including legislation, University codes and policies, and policies and guidance issued by research councils and other organisations. An abridged list of relevant documents may be found in §I, II and III of Annexe A.

2.2.4 The University expects its members to ensure that their work enhances the reputation and standing of the University and the profession to which they belong, where relevant.

2.3 Observance of the Code

2.3.1 Staff in the job families referred to in para 1.2.2 (above) and visiting staff must familiarise themselves with this Code and its provisions, and ensure that they and others working around them adhere to these provisions.

2.3.2 Staff responsible for teaching or supervising students who are undertaking research must ensure that these students are familiar with the core principles of the Code. Researchers will be judged by the standards contained within this Code.

2.3.3 In addition to the mandatory requirements indicated by the use of ‘must’ as defined in para 1.2.1 (above), this Code contains provisions (indicated by ‘should’) which are recommended as best practice. Whilst these provisions are not mandatory, researchers will normally be expected to follow these recommendations, and researchers who choose not to do so should have good reason and be prepared to account for their actions.

2.4 Breach of the Code

2.4.1 This code is linked to, and operates in, conjunction with conditions of employment for the relevant staff groups and other related University policies and procedures. Failure to abide by this code may lead to the matter being considered under the University’s disciplinary procedure.

2.4.2 The University may also refer researchers who fail to comply with the Code to their professional regulatory body (e.g. the General Medical Council).

2.5 Advice and training

2.5.1 If you are in doubt about the applicability of the Code, or about the appropriate course of action to be adopted in relation to it, you must seek advice from a suitable colleague, such as member of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee⁴, a member of the relevant Ethics Sub-Committee⁵, the Director of the Research and Enterprise Division⁶, or the Director of Development and Alumni Relations⁷, or, for NHS-related research, to the University Research Governance Office⁸.

2.5.2 Training in various aspects of the Code is available at different levels (e.g. from Departments, Colleges and various offices within Corporate Services such as the Research and Enterprise Division) and you should ensure that you take advantage of the training offered both at a generic level and any subject-specific provision. The University, through its intranet, will draw attention to relevant training.

2.6 External codes

2.6.1 This Code has been drawn up to conform with the principles laid out in other relevant policies, guidelines and codes of conduct, including Universities UK’s Concordat to Support Research Integrity, Research Councils UK’s Policy and Code of Conduct on the Governance of Good Research Conduct, and the UK Research Integrity Office’s Code of Practice

⁴ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/governance/committees/senate/research
⁵ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/institution/committees/research-ethics/terms-of-reference-and-reporting-structure
⁶ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/red/information
⁷ Available from https://www2.le.ac.uk/alumni/about
⁸ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researckgovernance/ethics
2.6.2 In the event of any lack of clarity, this Code should be interpreted in such a way as to conform to the requirements of the documents mentioned in para 2.6.1 (above). Researchers **must** also adhere to any regulations laid down by their professional body and any legal requirements relating to their research, such as Acts of Parliament or statutory regulations.

2.6.3 The University is signed up to the *Concordat to Support the Career Development of Researchers* and its seven key principles⁹, of which number six is of particular relevance to this Code: “Diversity and equality must be promoted in all aspects of the recruitment and career management of researchers”.

### 3 Before Starting Research

#### 3.1 Applying for funding and support

3.1.1 When you are applying for research funding or support of any kind (including grants, fellowships or studentships) you **must** ensure that the information you provide in any application is clear and accurate.

3.1.2 If you apply for research funding or support of any kind, you **must not** seek to identify or approach the assessors of your application. If you are requested to nominate assessors as part of the application process, you may approach them to seek their permission to nominate them.

3.1.3 When applying for research funding, you **must** make sure that you are familiar with the research funders’ terms and conditions for applications and awards and ensure that you abide by these at all times.

3.1.4 When applying for research funding you **must** comply with the University policies and regulations. You **must** also report to your Departmental Ethics Officer or Ethics Sub-
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Committee member any application which may give rise to a conflict of interest. More information on conflict of interest can be found in section 3.5, *Conflict of interest*.

3.1.5 Any researcher who is a signatory on an application for research funding or support of any kind **must** share responsibility for ensuring that the information submitted is clear and accurate, and will be held jointly responsible for any plagiarism (including self-plagiarism – See 6.2.4), fabrication, falsification or misrepresentation.

3.1.6 Where an application involves collaborative working with individuals and organisations outside the University, applicant researchers **must** ensure the collaborator’s costs and any letters of support or agreements are appropriately included in the application (See 3.6 below).

3.1.7 When considering whether to apply for philanthropic funding you **must** seek advice in the first instance from the Development and Alumni Relations Office. The Guidance Note defining philanthropic donations and associated worked examples provides assistance for staff involved in planning approaches or bids for philanthropic support¹⁰.

3.1.8 The University has an obligation to conduct its fundraising, research and enterprise funding operations and relationships in an ethical manner, and to ensure that due diligence is observed when assessing whether or not to proceed with a funding application, or to accept an unsolicited philanthropic donation or research funding, or to establish specific philanthropic relationships or contracts.

3.1.9 The University’s *Ethical Policy and Guidelines for the Acceptance and Refusal of Donations and Research and Enterprise Funding*¹¹ provides guidance for staff involved in planning

---

¹⁰ Available from https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/alumni/staff-forms-and-guides

¹¹ Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/alumni/about/ethical
and bidding for philanthropic donations or research or enterprise funding. You **must** ensure that any research funding sought follows these guidelines and, where there is any doubt, you **must** seek advice from the Director of Development and Alumni Relations Office or the Director of the Research and Enterprise Division, as appropriate.

### 3.2 Ethics approval procedures

**3.2.1** You **must** ensure that ethical approval is acquired prior to an application for research funding where this is a requirement of the research funder, for example NHS research, and before research commences in other cases. In general, the PI is responsible for ensuring that ethical review and approval is in place if the research involves human participants, personal data or animal studies. Ethical approval **may** be required for projects funded by the University and those not receiving any funding at all, as well as for externally funded projects.

**3.2.2** You **should** seek advice on ethical approvals from the appropriate Departmental Ethics Officer or Ethics Sub-Committee member. Researchers may also seek advice from the Research Governance Manager, in particular around ethics review for research in the NHS.

**3.2.3** You **must** ensure that ethical approval is secured prior to the commencement of any research where this is required by:

- Statute or Statutory instrument (such as the Clinical Trials Regulations or the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986);
- Government Policy (e.g. NHS Research Governance Framework for NHS Health research);
- Regulatory authority, Safety Committee or Professional body;
- Research funder (e.g. ESRC Framework for Research Ethics or Ministry of Justice); or
- the University **Research Ethics Code of Practice**\(^\text{12}\).

**3.2.4** In cases where ethical approvals are required and external research funding is available, Research Support Services will not release funds until it has received evidence that the necessary ethical approvals are in place. A temporary exemption may be granted for projects in which the development of the methodology forms part of the project such that prior approvals cannot be given.

**3.2.5** Where ethics approval is required, you **must** seek ethics review and approval from the appropriate sub-committee. Researchers are responsible for ensuring that the correct sub-committee reviews the research. You should contact your Departmental Ethics Officer or Ethics Sub-Committee member for advice on which Committee to approach.

**3.2.6** If your research involves the NHS it will need HRA\(^\text{13}\) approval. Please contact the Research Governance Office who assist in this process. If HRA approval is required, the proposal does not need to be reviewed by the University Research Ethics Committee as well.

**3.2.7** Where the research needs to be reviewed by the University, you **must** submit an application online by using the University Ethics Review system. Policy and Guidance on the University Ethics Review is regulated by the Research Ethics Code of Practice.

**3.2.8** You **must** seek a favourable opinion (equivalent to approval from other ethics committees) from the relevant NHS Ethics Committee designated by the National Research Ethics Services (NRES) for:

- Research involving NHS patients (including their tissue or data) or the relatives or carers of NHS patients identified because of this status;
- Health-related research involving prisoners;
- Social care research projects funded by the Department of Health; or

---

12 Available from: [http://www2.le.ac.uk/institution/ethics](http://www2.le.ac.uk/institution/ethics)

Research Code of Conduct

- Research where NRES review is required by law (e.g. within the remit of the Human Tissue Act, the Mental Capacity Act, or the Medicines for Human Use [Clinical Trials] Regulations 2004, as amended).

3.2.9 If a study is using only NHS staff or premises it may not require an NHS ethics opinion but will require NHS R&D review and approval (GAFREC) and it may also require review through the University’s ethical review system. For advice in this area, you should contact the Research Governance Manager.\(^{14}\)

3.2.10 Where research involves Ministry of Defence funded research, ethical approval must be sought from the relevant Ministry of Defence Research Ethics Committee (MODREC).

3.2.11 Where the ethical requirements of an approving body conflict with the provisions of this Code, or where the requirements of multiple approving bodies conflict, researchers must seek guidance from the Chair of the University Research Ethics Committee.

3.2.12 Research must be conducted in compliance with any conditions specified by an approving regulatory body, nominated reviewer, Ethics Sub-Committee, the University Research Ethics Committee or other relevant funder or approving body, and the University’s Research Ethics Code of Practice.

3.3 Research governance approvals and sponsor requirements for health research

3.3.1 Research where NRES review is required by law (e.g. within the remit of the Human Tissue Act, the Mental Capacity Act, or the Medicines for Human Use [Clinical Trials] Regulations 2004, as amended), or research under the remit of the Department of Health’s Research Governance Framework for Health and Social Care, or the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Regulations, may not commence until an appropriate sponsor has been nominated and approved (the sponsor is different from the funder). Generally, a sponsor is required where research is carried out in the NHS involving NHS patients, their tissue, or data, or involves NHS staff or is carried out on NHS premises, or using NHS facilities or equipment (including GPs providing NHS services).

3.3.2 Researchers who wish to approach the University to act as sponsor must contact the Research Governance Manager\(^{15}\) to apply for sponsorship review. The University and the University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust operate a joint Research Support Office and have a memorandum of understanding on research governance for joint projects.\(^{16}\)

3.3.3 Clinical and health research which is sponsored by the University must comply with the Standard Operating Procedures issued by Research Sponsorship Management and Operations Group of the College of Medicine, Biological Sciences and Psychology. The Research Governance Manager can be contacted for advice.\(^{17}\)

3.3.4 Where clinical research is to be undertaken, researchers must familiarise themselves with and adhere to the specific roles and responsibilities assigned to them under the relevant legislation or by other bodies involved with the clinical research, (including of the defined roles of Chief Investigator or Principal Investigator of a Clinical Study).

3.3.5 Where the requirements of an approving body conflict with the provisions of this Code, or where the requirements of multiple approving

\(^{14}\) Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance

\(^{15}\) Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance

\(^{16}\) Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/mou-with-uhl-nhs-trust-on-research-governance

\(^{17}\) Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_Sponsorship

\(^{18}\) Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/ethics
bodies conflict, researchers must seek guidance from the Research Governance Manager.

3.3.6 Research must be conducted in compliance with any conditions specified by NRES or other relevant approving body, including the University as sponsor.

3.4 Other approvals

3.4.1 Researchers should be aware that other aspects of a research project may also require approval or documentation before research commences. Examples include working with biological agents, potentially hazardous chemicals, controlled drugs, chemical weapon precursors, radiation or genetically modified organisms as well as health and safety, data security and information governance considerations. Researchers must ensure that all relevant procedures are completed prior to starting. Advice may be sought from Safety Services, Information Assurance Services or IT Services, as appropriate.

3.4.2 Additional regulations from the Home Office govern the use of animals in research and all such research must be licenced before research commences. The University’s Policy Statement on Research Involving the Use of Animals provides more information.

3.4.3 Where research involves sensitive, security or terrorism-related material, researchers must ensure that all procedures set out in the University’s Policy on Researching and Handling Sensitive, Extreme or Radical Material have been followed and appropriate permissions obtained.

3.5 Conflict of interest

3.5.1 ‘Conflict of interest’ includes any personal or family concern with the outcome of research, or any affiliation or involvement with any organisation sponsoring or providing financial support for a project, or any financial involvement in a project undertaken by a researcher. To be clear, financial involvement includes direct financial interest, provision of benefits (such as travel and accommodation) and provision of material or facilities.

3.5.2 You must make full disclosure of any conflict of interest associated with or arising from your research to your Departmental Ethics Officer or a member of the approving Ethics Sub-Committee as soon as reasonably practicable and identify the nature of the conflict.

3.5.3 You must comply with any instructions, requirements or directives from your Departmental Ethics Officer or member of the approving Ethics Sub-Committee in relation to a conflict of interest in research.

3.5.4 You must make full disclosure of any conflict of interest to any Research Ethics Committees or other approving bodies reviewing an application for ethics approval.

3.5.5 The Financial Regulations provide guidance on acceptance of gifts and other financial matters.

3.6 Working with individuals and organisations outside the University

3.6.1 If research is conducted in partnership with individuals or organisations outside the University, formal agreements must be put in place prior to the commencement of the research. Formal agreements normally include agreement on publication and authorship, ownership of intellectual property, the responsibilities of researchers, procedures for the resolution of issues and the investigation of allegations of misconduct. Depending on the
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19 Available from https://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/safety-services
20 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias
21 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data
22 Available from https://www.gov.uk/research-and-testing-using-animals
23 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/staff/policy/codes-of-practice-and-policy/statement
24 Available from Summer 2016.
25 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/finance/staff/regulations/financial-regulations
nature of the project, agreements may also include arrangements for data sharing, supply of drugs, chemical or other materials and other project-specific issues.

3.6.2 All philanthropic support for research projects or programmes must be the subject of a Gift Agreement and the PI must work with the Development and Alumni Relations Office in producing such documents for approval.

3.6.3 The PI must ensure that the Research and Enterprise Division is informed and they will negotiate agreements with the relevant individuals and organisations. You must not sign any research-related agreements (including, but not limited to, consultancy, research grants, materials transfer and confidentiality / non-disclosure agreements) without specific approval from the Research and Enterprise Division.

3.6.4 If a project may result in exploitable intellectual property and any revenue sharing should the intellectual property be commercialised, you must contact the Research and Enterprise Division for advice, as outlined in the University’s Intellectual Property policy. You must consider any issues that might arise relating to intellectual property at the earliest opportunity, and ensure agreement is reached in advance on how they will be addressed.

3.6.5 Researchers must familiarise themselves with and adhere to the standards and procedures for the conduct of research laid out in any collaboration agreement. You should pay particular attention to projects involving collaborators from different countries or work carried out in another country, and be aware of any additional legal and ethical requirements or other guidelines which may apply as a result. The OECD report *Opportunities, Challenges and Good Practices in International Research Cooperation between Developed and Developing Countries* contains useful guidance in this area.

3.6.6 Researchers must not enter into any agreement that may be overridden by the Freedom of Information Act. Researchers should be aware that the University is considered a ‘public authority’ subject to the Freedom of Information Act, and thus information held by the University, including research data, may be subject to freedom of information requests. You must contact Information Assurance Services for queries relating to FOI and Research and Enterprise Division for contracts, so they can negotiate any confidentiality, non-disclosure or similar agreements.

3.7 Preparations for research data collection

3.7.1 Many research funders require a data management plan as part of any application. You must include, at an early stage in the design of your project, consideration of how data will be gathered, analysed, managed and archived, including how and in what form data will eventually be made available to others.

3.7.2 You must ensure that you make appropriate preparations for the security of your research data, paying particular attention to any confidential or personal information that will be obtained (in either physical or electronic format) during the course of your research, and who will require access to it.

3.7.3 IT Services, Information Assurance Services or, in relation to NHS and patient data, the Research Governance Manager can provide advice on information and data security, including the backup of research data and encryption of sensitive or confidential

26 Available from: [http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ebd/documents/IP%20Policy.pdf](http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ebd/documents/IP%20Policy.pdf)


28 Available from [http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/itservices/ithelp/my-computer/files-and-security](http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/itservices/ithelp/my-computer/files-and-security)

29 Available from [http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/information-governance-igt](http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/information-governance-igt)
information, including security or terrorism related data.

3.7.4 More information on research data management can be found in sections 4.3, Managing research data and 5.3, Providing open access to research outputs. You should also consult the Data Management guidance on the website30.

3.8 Arrangements for supervision of research

3.8.1 Heads of Department must ensure that specific, responsible and appropriately qualified researchers are assigned to act as supervisors for each student undertaking research (including at undergraduate, masters and doctoral levels), trainee researcher (such as clinical trainees) or research assistant within their academic unit.

3.8.2 Heads of Department must monitor the number of students, research assistants and trainee researchers assigned to a particular supervisor so as to ensure effective intellectual interaction and effective oversight of the research at all times. Heads of Department must ensure that all Senate regulations31 concerning the number of students being supervised, and the status of the supervisor, are adhered to.

3.8.3 Supervisors must familiarise themselves with their responsibilities as set out in this Code. Supervisors must observe all of the responsibilities set out in the Code and must not accept appointment as a Supervisor if they do not expect to be able to discharge all of these responsibilities. See section 4.7, Responsibilities of supervisors for further details.

3.8.4 Supervisors must also ensure that they are aware of and abide by the Senate regulations covering research degree programmes32.

3.8.5 The Head of Department, or Supervisor, should provide each student undertaking research or trainee researcher or research assistant with written material on applicable government and institutional guidelines for research conduct, including this Code and other policies, codes of practice and guidelines on research integrity, ethical requirements for studies on humans and animals (where appropriate), confidentiality and occupational health and safety.

3.8.6 Recruitment of researchers must be carried out in accordance with the University’s equal opportunity policy and recognised recruitment processes for staff or students, as appropriate.

4 During the Research Project

4.1 Confidential Information

4.1.1 You must ensure that you and any students or other researchers under your supervision comply with all the provisions of agreements prepared by the Research and Enterprise Division. This includes all confidentiality provisions, which are very likely to extend beyond the term of the contract. The Research and Enterprise Division are able to advise on compliance with contractual provisions.

4.2 Intellectual property

4.2.1 In line with UK legislation, the University owns all intellectual property or other materials developed by its employees, unless explicitly stated otherwise. In exchange, the University provides generous revenue sharing schemes for employees and their departments, should the intellectual property be commercialised. Details of the scheme can be found in the University’s Intellectual Property Policy33. The University does, however, waive copyright of

30 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/rdm
31 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/departments/gradschool/zone/staff/registration/supervisor-appointments
32 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/documents/senate-regulation9
33 Available at http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ebd/about
academic outputs such as theses, journal articles, books or book chapters.

4.2.2 Students are not employees of the University and therefore legally own any intellectual property arising from their research as long as all of the creative intellectual input has been that of the student. When students have simply followed a supervisor’s instructions, the intellectual input resides with the supervisor. Where the research results are clearly the output of intellectual input from both the student and supervisor then, depending on the particular circumstances, the intellectual input is jointly owned by the student and the University or each owns any intellectual property that results from their respective creative inputs, subject to the individual circumstances of each research activity.

4.2.3 When a researcher is both a student and an employee (e.g. a graduate teaching assistant, an undergraduate doing hourly paid work via Unitemps, or a member of staff taking a part-time undergraduate, postgraduate taught or postgraduate research degree), ownership of intellectual property will normally be determined by whether the intellectual property was created during the researcher’s duties as a member of staff or a student.

4.2.4 The University will decide, on a case by case basis, whether students should assign their intellectual property to the University. Supervisors are responsible for ensuring that the appropriate documentation for executing such an assignment is signed in conjunction with the Research and Enterprise Division. On assigning their intellectual property to the University, students will benefit from the University’s exploitation policy on the same terms as employees.

4.2.5 Where funding (cash or in-kind) is received from an external body, there may be agreement that the sponsoring body has rights to ownership of intellectual property arising from the project. The supervisor of such research, in conjunction with the Research and Enterprise Division, is responsible for ensuring that the appropriate assignments of ownership are in place between any student and the University.

4.2.6 The University’s Intellectual Property Policy sets out the procedures to be followed should you make an invention or discovery in the course of a research project carried out as part of your normal University activities. It is essential that you contact the Research and Enterprise Division at an early stage to obtain advice and guidance. You must also be aware of the need to maintain confidentiality regarding the results of research, pending legal protection, in accordance with any instructions or advice from the Research and Enterprise Division.

4.2.7 There is a range of ways in which confidentiality can be compromised by disclosure of information including a discovery or invention. Disclosures may occur as a result of posters, presentations, emails, informal conversations, etc., in addition to published papers. Breaches of confidentiality may result in actions for recovery of losses from a research funder or external collaborator against the University and the individual concerned, together with a loss of income. Even if a research funder is not involved, breaking confidentiality will result in an inability to protect the intellectual property at any time in the future. It is possible to have confidential conversations without compromising IP under the protection of a confidentiality agreement; such agreements can be prepared by the Research and Enterprise Division to whom all enquiries should be directed.

4.2.8 If you leave the University, any intellectual property developed during your employment which is owned by the University, or by any research funder to whom such intellectual property has been assigned in accordance with a relevant contract or licence, remains the property of the University or funder. It should
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not be divulged to third parties without the permission of its owner, unless it is already in the public domain.

4.2.9 Where research involves collaborative working with individuals and organisations outside the University, any protectable intellectual property developed by collaborators will be handled as agreed in the research contract. If the research contract contains a confidentiality clause, it may be possible to disclose the details of the intellectual property to all of the collaborators; otherwise it will be necessary to keep the details to those deemed ‘inventors’.

4.2.10 Researchers must ensure that they do not divulge information received from a third party under terms of confidentiality without written permission, as to do so may render them liable to claims by the owner of the information. Such restrictions are very likely to persist beyond the end of a research project.

4.3 Managing research data

4.3.1 The University regards research data as a valuable asset. The management of research data is an integral part of good research practice that allows reliable verification of results, protects the intellectual and financial investment made in its creation, enables it to be shared, and prompts new and innovative research.

4.3.2 You must apply the University’s Research Data Management principles in managing your research data.35

4.3.3 Research data must be recorded legibly, clearly and accurately in a suitable form, with appropriate references. A series of general and funder-based data management guides and a dedicated University website are available36. NHS-related data must be recorded in line with any source data agreements and rules relating to recording of data in medical notes etc.37

4.3.4 Backup copies of electronic data should always be made and retained, and files should preferably be held in a data archive. Information that is held on central facilities managed by IT Services are regularly backed up, including all files and emails held within University IT accounts (including R:, X: and Z: drives but not local drives).

4.3.5 Researchers should ensure that they have plans in places for appropriate short-term data storage, creation of metadata, access control, sharing, secure data transfers, data back-up and long-term curation through selection and use of long-term storage through repository and archive and the use of suitable formats, as outlined in the Research Data Management Principle.38

4.3.6 When undertaking sensitive, security or terrorism related research, researchers must ensure that they have suitable encryption and storage systems in place before research commences.

4.3.7 You must handle all research data, associated documentation or information and communications, in whatever format, in compliance with the Information Security Policy39. Guidance can be obtained from IT Services and from Information Assurance Services. Risk assessments must be carried out where appropriate.

4.3.8 Where data are supplied by a third party, advice on contractual matters must be sought from Purchasing, IT Services and Information Assurance Services in addition to any advice from the Research and Enterprise Division.

---

35 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/documents/uol_rdmprinciples
36 Available from http://www.le.ac.uk/researchdata
37 Available from http://www.ct-toolkit.ac.uk/routemap/trial-documentation
38 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/documents/uol_rdmprinciples.
39 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/ispolicy/information-security-policy-a-z
4.4 Use of personal data

4.4.1 ‘Personal data’ consists of any information about living people who can be identified by the data or from combinations of the data and other information which the person in control of the data possesses, or is likely to possess in the future.

4.4.2 You must ensure that any use of data within your research complies with the Data Protection Act. Special care must be taken with personal data, which must be processed in accordance with the applicable legislation and the University’s Data Protection Code of Practice, and must not be kept longer than is necessary for that purpose. Researchers must pay particular attention to the Data Protection Code of Practice with respect to the collection, processing, protection, retention and disposal of personal data.

4.4.3 Researchers should, wherever practicable, use anonymised data for research. You should remove or destroy any personal identifiers from non-anonymised data received unless it is absolutely necessary to retain them for research purposes.

4.4.4 You must contact Information Assurance Services to discuss any receiving or sharing of personal data with external bodies involved in research.

4.5 Freedom of Information and Data Protection requests

4.5.1 Researchers must immediately refer any requests for information through the Freedom of Information Act or, for access to personal data through the Data Protection Act, to Information Assurance Services. You must not respond to any requests yourself.

4.5.2 Researchers must be aware that research data may be accessible through the Freedom of Information Act, although exemptions do apply.

4.5.3 You must be aware that individuals have a general right to see any personal data held about themselves, though exemptions do apply to personal data held as part of a research project. The University’s policy is to provide access to personal data where this does not incur a significant administrative overhead.

4.6 Use of research funds

4.6.1 If you are in receipt of research funding, you must use those funds for the purpose for which they were provided and in accordance with the conditions for accepting those funds. Researchers must familiarise yourself and others involved with the research with those conditions in order to ensure they are not accidentally breached.

4.6.2 If you hold research funding you must ensure that you do not, either by action or inaction, prevent the University from fulfilling its obligations to the research funder. Researchers may contact the Research and Enterprise Division, at any time during or beyond the end of a research project for further information.

4.6.3 Written consent must be obtained from the research funder when the use of funds differs from any conditions previously approved.

4.6.4 Researchers who hold research funding must assist the University in compliance with the monitoring and audit regulations of the research funder. PIs must ensure that all researchers involved with a research project are aware of their responsibilities in this area.

4.6.5 You must comply with all University and research funder regulations relating to the employment of staff using research funding.
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40 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/dpp/dp_codeofpractice.pdf

41 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/information/researchers/foi4researchers
4.7 Responsibilities of supervisors

4.7.1 Supervisors must provide any researchers they are supervising with guidance on all matters of good research practice. This includes discussing, at the outset, relevant issues of intellectual property, research conduct and ethics with research students, research assistants and trainee researchers, and referring any problems or queries to the Head of Department.

4.7.2 Supervisors must ensure that researchers:
• have access to annual appraisal and are encouraged to take part;
• have access to flexible working especially for family and caring responsibilities;
• have working arrangements that do not directly discriminate against groups associated with a protected characteristic nor indirectly discriminate unless it cannot be avoided and is justifiable;
• have access to mentoring or training organised on a College basis or centrally, and are encouraged to attend relevant opportunities;
• have access to facilitated maternity, adoption or paternity leave and Supervisors should seek permission to cover the costs from research funders or, failing that, from the University.
More information on equal opportunities can be obtained from the Equalities Team.\(^{42}\)

4.7.3 Supervisors must ensure, as far as possible, the validity of research data obtained by researchers under their supervision.

4.7.4 Where a student is processing personal data as part of their studies, supervisors must ensure that the processing complies with the Data Protection Act and any other applicable legislation (see section 4.4, Use of personal data above).

4.7.5 Supervisors must ensure that students and other researchers under their supervision are made aware of any training provided on good conduct in research, and should encourage attendance at relevant courses, ensuring attendance at mandatory courses.

4.7.6 The supervisors of higher degree candidates (such as those registered for MPhil, PhD, or a professional doctorate) have a particular responsibility to ensure appropriate recognition of the student’s contribution to any research on which a publication is based. An agreement, preferably written, should be reached early in the candidature between the supervisor and the student in respect of the attribution of authorship, embracing the principles of open and mutual recognition.

4.7.7 Supervisors must also ensure that they are aware of and abide by the Senate regulations covering research degree programmes.\(^{43}\)

4.7.8 Supervisors must ensure that ethical approval is obtained, where required, for any research undertaken by staff and undergraduate or postgraduate students working under their supervision.

5 After Research

5.1 Publishing research outputs

5.1.1 Researchers must publish and disseminate research in a manner that reports the research and their findings accurately and without selection that could be misleading. If the terms of funding require publication within a set time, researchers must make every effort to meet these deadlines, for example the 12 month deadline set for clinical trials under Good Clinical Practice rules.\(^{44}\)

5.1.2 Anyone who has participated in a substantial way in conceiving, executing or interpreting at least part of the relevant research must be given the opportunity to be included as an author of a publication derived from that research.

---

\(^{42}\) Available from [http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/equalities-unit](http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/equalities-unit)

\(^{43}\) Available from [http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/documents/senate-regulation9](http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/documents/senate-regulation9)

5.1.3 Any person who has not participated in a substantial way in conceiving, executing or interpreting at least part of the relevant research must not be included as an author of a publication derived from that research.

5.1.4 Where the publication or dissemination of research or research findings generates or may generate interest from the media or the general public, researchers must seek advice from the Press Office.

5.1.5 A publication must contain appropriate reference to the contributions made by all participants in the research and information on sources of financial support for the research upon which the publication is based. Authors must seek permission to include such references, and agreement on the specific form of words to be used, prior to publication.

5.1.6 Where a research funder or contributor specifies a form of words and/or content for acknowledgements of support or protection of anonymity, you must make sure that your reference meets these requirements.

5.1.7 If you are put under pressure, by sponsors and research funders or other parties, to discourage or suppress appropriate publication or dissemination, or to influence the presentation or interpretation of findings, you must report this to the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee or Director of the Research and Enterprise Division in the first instance.

5.1.8 Each author of a publication must agree to its final, peer-reviewed, version.

5.1.9 All authors share responsibility for the veracity of the published work. Each author must satisfy themselves that the research reported has been carried out properly and ethically.

5.1.10 A publication that is closely related to another publication derived from the same research (such as one using the same dataset) must contain appropriate reference to the other publication.

5.1.11 You must not submit work that is substantially similar in style, content or length to more than one journal or publisher unless it has been rejected by the previous journal or publisher.

5.1.12 The bibliography for a publication must be appropriate for the output, accurate and avoid excessive self-citation.

5.1.13 Forthcoming publications must be accurately described in references and lists of publications, using terms such as ‘in preparation’, ‘submitted to’, ‘accepted for publication’, ‘forthcoming’ or ‘in press’, and subject to the rules of publishers and journals.

5.1.14 Should a published work be found to contain errors, other than those of a minor, typographical nature, the editor of the journal or the publisher must be informed immediately. The appropriate corrective action, for example through publication of a correction or retraction of the paper, should be determined in consultation with the editor. The action must be reported to the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee who will consider whether the error arises from misconduct in research and whether further action is needed.

5.1.15 Although the University does not assert its ownership of the copyright in respect of material such as books, journals and articles, it does retain its right to use and produce such materials for internal educational purposes whilst recognising the author’s moral rights.

5.1.16 You must ensure that all reports and other publications arising from research projects bear an appropriate assertion of copyright. If your publisher makes your research output immediately open access from their website, your funder may require that you additionally

---
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specify a particular reuse license, e.g. Creative Commons.

5.1.17 You **must** ensure that the addresses of all University-affiliated authors are stated in the publication in a format that complies with the University policy on Institutional Affiliation in Research Publications,\(^46\) to ensure that the University receives the appropriate academic prestige and acknowledgement in citations of the publication.

5.1.18 Any conflicts of interest **must** be declared when research findings are reported at meetings, conferences, in presentations or in publications.

5.1.19 Researchers **should** seek advice from the Research and Enterprise Division or a Research Ethics Committee, as appropriate, in cases where the publication and dissemination of research and the findings of research includes:
- Confidential or proprietary information;
- Issues relating to patents or intellectual property;
- Findings with serious implications for public health; and/or
- Contractual or legal obligations.

5.2 Authorship and acknowledgment

5.2.1 Any person who is to be included as author of a publication **must**:
- Have made a substantial contribution to the research from which the publication is derived;
- Be familiar with the entire contents of the publication;
- Have participated sufficiently in the research to take public responsibility for the content of the publication; and
- Meet any criteria for authorship made by a publisher or editor.

5.2.2 Any person who does not meet the criteria in para 5.2.1 of this Code **must not** be included as author.

5.2.3 Any person who has made a contribution to the research, but who does not meet the criteria in para 5.2.1 of this Code to be included as author, **must** be formally acknowledged in a publication based on that research. This would include students, research assistants, technical officers and individuals and organisations which have provided financial support as well as organisations providing data. Authors **must** obtain written permission from any persons acknowledged by name. Individuals, such as respondents or patients, **may** be acknowledged as a group rather than individually.

5.2.4 Where a researcher believes that they have been unfairly denied the opportunity to be included as an author of a publication, or that they or another researcher has been incorrectly included as an author, the involved parties **should** first seek to reach agreement amongst themselves. If this is not possible, researchers **should** seek assistance from the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee.

5.2.5 Guidance on publication and authorship, is provided by the Committee of Publication Ethics (COPE)\(^47\) and the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors\(^48\).

5.3 Providing open access to research outputs

5.3.1 The University has an **Open Access Policy**\(^49\) requiring free unrestricted online access to all published research outputs authored by members of the University, where allowed by agreement with the publisher. Authors **must** follow this policy, seeking advice from the Library where needed.

\(^{46}\) Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/library/downloads/institutional-affiliation-policy

\(^{47}\) Available from http://publicationethics.org/resources/guidelines

\(^{48}\) Available from http://www.icmje.org/

\(^{49}\) Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/library/downloads/open-access/open-access-policy
5.3.2 Staff authors must submit the full text of their author accepted manuscript (in the case of journal articles and conference proceedings) to the Leicester Research Archive (LRA) via the Integrated Research Information System (IRIS) within 3 months from date of acceptance for publication. The bibliographic details of these and also all other publications should be included in IRIS. In some cases, the LRA may accept the final published version of a publication where this is permitted by the publisher. Manuscripts are made publicly available from the LRA after expiry of any publisher embargo period which may apply.

5.3.3 Where a publication reports the results of research which has been partly or fully funded by an external source, the authors must ensure that they comply with each research funder’s open access requirements, as stated in the research funder’s terms and conditions of funding. Open access deposit is now mandatory for work supported by many funders and for journal articles and conference proceedings that might be submitted to the Research Excellence Framework50. Authors should seek advice from the Library if in any doubt51.

5.3.4 Where a research funder has provided funds to the University to cover publishers fees associated with making outputs available under open access, applications for payment of publishers fees should be made to the Library before the output is submitted for publication.52

5.3.5 Student authors should approach Leicester Research Archive staff directly for assistance on depositing publications in the archive by contacting openaccess@le.ac.uk.

5.3.6 Doctoral students are required to make a copy of their thesis available in open access form in addition to submitting a paper copy. They must deposit an electronic copy of the final thesis in the Leicester Research Archive in accordance with the instructions in the Research Student Handbook53. Students should familiarise themselves with the allowances for embargo periods, as set out in the Handbook, and ensure that they make any request for an embargo at the appropriate time.

5.4 Providing open access to research data

5.4.1 Researchers should make publicly funded research data openly available, with as few restrictions as possible, in a timely and responsible manner that does not harm intellectual property or research participants, in line with the policies of any research funder.

5.4.2 Research data related to publications should be made available for consultation by researchers outside the group which conducted the initial research, except where confidentiality provisions prevail.

5.4.3 For all NHS-related research, researchers are encouraged to deposit suitably formatted data in a publicly accessible database: this is mandatory for all clinical trials involving an investigational medicinal product (drug studies).

5.4.4 Where required by a publisher or funding body, you must deposit your research data in an external subject-specific repository in an appropriate form.

5.4.5 Confidentiality provisions may apply in circumstances where the University or the researcher has made or given confidentiality undertakings to third parties or confidentiality is required to protect intellectual property rights. You must be aware whether confidentiality provisions apply and, if you are the PI, ensure that all other researchers on the
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50 Available from http://www.hefce.ac.uk/whatwedo/rsrch/infrastruct/oa/policy/
51 Available from http://www.le.ac.uk/openaccess
52 Available from https://www2.le.ac.uk/library/for/researchers/publish/openaccessfund
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project are aware of these obligations. Advice on confidentiality agreements with potential commercial or industrial exploitation partners to protect intellectual property rights, including any embargo periods on publications, may be obtained from Research and Enterprise Division.

5.5 Retaining records and research data

5.5.1 Long-term storage and access to research data should be managed through an appropriate funder-provided, discipline-specific or institutional facility. You should, wherever possible, store primary and secondary research data in a secure and accessible form. Research data resulting from a study should be available to other researchers, so that they may replicate the study or elaborate on its findings.54

5.5.2 Confidential information (including personal data) must be destroyed and disposed of securely once it is no longer required, after agreed periods of retention have expired, or in cases where destruction is required for legal or ethical reasons, in accordance with the University’s Information Handling Policy.55 Sensitive paper documents should be shredded, and electronic data should be securely erased. You should seek assistance from your departmental Computer Officer or IT Services for advice on the secure disposal of electronic data. In addition, you must ensure that you comply with any additional legal or ethical requirements, or requirements from research funders or collaborating organisations, regarding the secure disposal of confidential data.

5.5.3 For data and documents related to clinical trials, researchers must be aware of the University’s responsibilities as a sponsor of clinical trials, and ensure that they retain the essential documents required by the Medicines for Human Use (Clinical Trials) Amendment Regulations 2006 or any subsequent regulations. Standard Operating Procedures for studies which are sponsored by the University must be followed. Further information, including details of the essential documents, can be found in the Standard Operating Procedures of the College of Medicine, Biological Sciences and Psychology’s Research Sponsorship and Management Group.56

5.5.4 Data must be retained intact for a period of at least six years, or any longer period required by an approving body, the research funder or under legislation. Minimum periods commence on the date at which the final report was sent to the research funder, or the date on which the output was published, or, for student research, the date of submission of the thesis or dissertation. The term ‘data’ here includes all unpublished evidence, whether numerical or otherwise, on which the publication is based and from which results can be replicated or reproduced. Hard copy, such as laboratory notes, field notes, questionnaire responses, signed consent forms, the research protocol for the project, photographic records, and subsequent electronic files should all be retained.

5.5.5 In studies involving invasive procedures (including ingested substances and venepuncture), or in psychiatric studies, the standard period for retention of volunteer information and informed consent is a minimum of 10 years. For studies involving invasive procedures or psychiatric studies involving participants who are under the age of 18, volunteer information and informed consent must be stored for a minimum of 10 years after each participant’s 18th birthday.

5.5.6 Researchers should be aware that the retention periods may vary, in line with regulatory requirements, and should ensure

55 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/ispolicy/.
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that they are aware of any changes to data retention regulations and abide by them.

5.5.7 Research Ethics Committees, funders or approving bodies may, for an individual project, extend the length of retention period or redefine the minimum data that should be retained if they consider it appropriate. Researchers must ensure that they abide by the retention requirements of any relevant bodies and any project specific variations.

5.5.8 More information on data retention, sharing and storage is available from the Data Management website.57

5.6 Acting as a peer reviewer

5.6.1 The assessment procedures used by the Research Councils, major charities, and Government Departments are based extensively on peer and merit review, combining as necessary the views of expert referees and of committees or panels, whose members have been drawn from the academic and other user communities.

5.6.2 Individuals agreeing to contribute to the peer review process for external organisations must observe the following rules:

- All the information made available to a researcher as a peer reviewer must be treated in the strictest confidence.
- Peer review must be undertaken in line with the regulations of the body making the request.
- Reviewers must not take advantage of any information obtained as a result of their role; in particular they must not pirate unfunded proposals.
- Reviewers must declare any conflicts of interest and, normally, decline an invitation to review, or withdraw from the relevant discussion(s). Anyone with close professional, personal or commercial interest in a piece of work, or a member of the same University department as the applicant(s), is ineligible to comment.

5.6.3 If the peer review guidance provided by the research funder or other organisation differs in detail from the rules in para 5.6.2 (above), the individual should adhere to the guidance provided by the organisation.

5.6.4 If you are asked to peer-review internally, such as during the development of applications for research funding, you must consider yourself bound by the above guidance, with the exception that members of the same University department as the applicant are not barred from providing internal review, although it is recommended that some reviewers come from different departments to the applicant.

5.7 Reviewing manuscripts and other confidential information

5.7.1 The provisions above on peer review of grant proposals apply equally to the review of manuscripts for publication and all other forms of confidential information received (for example, in respect to patents, technical or commercial reports etc.). The term ‘manuscript’ used in this section refers to all and any such forms of confidential information.

5.7.2 Reviewers of manuscripts must adhere to the guidelines of the publisher/originating body, and must treat manuscripts in the strictest confidence even when the requirement is not explicit in the publisher’s/originator’s guidelines.

5.7.3 Where sight of a manuscript is likely to lead to a conflict of interest because it contains

57 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/find-and-share-data
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information and/or conclusions which are similar to those being brought to press (in a journal, book, patent or any other form of output) by the reviewer, whether collaboratively or otherwise, the manuscript must not be reviewed and must be returned to the publisher/originator immediately.

5.7.4 This section also applies to internal reviewing of draft outputs for colleagues and any assessment of outputs for the Research Excellence Framework or similar exercises.

6 Research Misconduct

6.1 Misconduct policy

6.1.1 The University considers misconduct in research to be completely unacceptable. All researchers must adhere to the principles of good practice outlined in this Code, in addition to any additional requirements placed upon them by legislation, professional bodies or other organisations. These additional requirements include, for example, those relating to clinical projects requiring research sponsorship.58

6.1.2 Alleged research misconduct by University staff will be dealt with according to Ordinance 23 (Discipline)59 and its associated Policy60 and Procedure.61

6.1.3 Cases of alleged misconduct involving registered students (both undergraduate and postgraduate) will be dealt with according to the procedures laid out in the relevant student regulations.

6.1.4 When a researcher is both a student and an employee (e.g. a graduate teaching assistant, an undergraduate doing hourly paid work via Unitemps, or a member of staff taking a part-time undergraduate, postgraduate taught or postgraduate research degree), the route by which misconduct will be investigated will normally be determined by whether the alleged misconduct took place during staff or student duties.

6.1.5 Misconduct in research includes acts of omission as well as acts of commission.

6.1.6 Allegations of misconduct in research will be judged by the standards which prevail in the country in question at the date that the behaviour under investigation took place.

6.2 Types of misconduct in research

6.2.1 The definitions used here are adapted from Research Councils UK’s Policy and Guidelines on Governance of Good Research Conduct62. Researchers must ensure that they do not commit any of the following acts:

6.2.2 Fabrication comprises the creation of false data or other aspects of research, including documentation and participant consent.

6.2.3 Falsification comprises inappropriate manipulation and/or selection of data, images and/or other contents.

6.2.4 Plagiarism comprises the misappropriation or use of others’ ideas, intellectual property or work (written or otherwise), without acknowledgement or permission63. It includes self-plagiarism: reuse of one’s own work without suitable acknowledgement or permission.

6.2.5 Misrepresentation includes:


59 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/governance/legislation/ordinances.

60 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/docs/policies/disc-ord-pol.pdf.

61 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/docs/policies/disc-ord-proc.pdf.

62 Available from http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/Publications/researchers/grc/

63 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ld/resources/study/avoiding-plagiarism
• Misrepresentation of data, such as by suppression of relevant findings, or knowingly, recklessly or by gross negligence presenting a flawed data interpretation;
• Undisclosed duplication of publication, including duplicate submission of manuscripts for publication;
• Misrepresentation of interests, including failure to declare material interests either of the researcher or of the research funders;
• Misrepresentation of qualifications and/or experience, including claiming or implying qualifications or experience not held; and/or
• Misrepresentation of involvement, such as inappropriate claims to authorship and/or attribution of work where there has been no significant contribution, or the denial of authorship where an author has made a significant contribution.

6.2.6 Breach of duty of care includes, whether deliberately, recklessly or by gross negligence:
• Disclosing improperly the identity of individuals or groups involved in research without their consent, or any other breach of confidentiality;
• Placing any of those involved in research in danger, whether as subjects, participants or associated individuals, without their prior consent, and without appropriate safeguards even with consent (this includes reputational danger where that can be predicted);
• Not taking all reasonable care to ensure that the risks and dangers, the broad objectives and the sponsors of the research are known to participants or their legal representatives, and/or to ensure appropriate informed consent is obtained properly, explicitly and transparently;
• Not observing legal and reasonable ethical requirements or obligations of care for human or animal subjects, human organs or tissue used in research, or for the protection of the environment; and/or
• Improper conduct in peer review of research proposals or results (including manuscripts submitted for publication);
  this includes failure to disclose conflicts of interest; inadequate disclosure of clearly limited competence; misappropriation of the content; and breach of confidentiality or abuse of material provided in confidence for peer review purposes.
• Breach of any express or implied confidentiality provision including provisions relating to externally awarded funding or research involving external organisations.

6.2.7 Failure to meet ethical, legal and professional obligations includes failure to meet the standards of relevant professional bodies (e.g. the General Medical Council) and standards and limitations applied to research by research funders or Research Ethics Committees. Failure to meet ethical, legal and professional standards may also comprise failure to declare competing interests; misrepresentation of involvement or authorship; misrepresentation of interests; breach of confidentiality; lack of informed consent; misuse of personal data; and abuse of research subjects or materials.

6.2.8 Improper dealing with allegations of misconduct includes:
• Failure to address possible infringements, including attempts to cover up misconduct or reprisals against whistle-blowers; and/or
• Failure to deal appropriately with malicious allegations, which should be handled formally as breaches of good conduct.

6.2.9 The list of types of research misconduct above is not meant to be exhaustive. Honest errors and differences in, for example, research methodology and interpretations are not examples of research misconduct.

6.3 Reporting misconduct in research
6.3.1 A complaint of misconduct in research concerning a University member of staff or registered postgraduate student must be made to the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee for an initial assessment of the nature and severity of the allegations.
6.3.2 A complaint of misconduct in research concerning an undergraduate or taught postgraduate student must be reviewed by the Head of Department in the first instance before a decision is made on the most appropriate route for dealing with the complaint. In making their decision, the Head of Department may seek advice from the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee or the Academic Registrar.

6.3.3 In the case of a member of staff, the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will contact Human Resources (HR) immediately on receipt of an allegation of misconduct to agree the appropriate process for investigating the allegations.

6.3.4 In the case of a student, the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee will contact the Graduate Dean or Head of Department (as appropriate) immediately on receipt of an allegation of misconduct to agree the appropriate process for investigating the allegations.

6.3.5 Where, in the view of the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee (in consultation with HR or the Graduate Dean or the Head of Department, as appropriate), it would be appropriate to manage the alleged misconduct informally, this may be done without recourse to the University's formal procedures.

6.3.6 The non-contractual Procedure for the Investigation of Misconduct in Research, published by the UK Research Integrity Office, will normally be used to investigate cases of alleged misconduct in research involving University staff, as recommended by the University's Discipline (Ordinance Policy). Where there is a potential or actual issue of academic freedom, the procedural modifications (regarding a panel) set out in the University's Discipline (Ordinance Procedure) will be applied.

6.3.7 Cases of alleged misconduct involving registered students will be dealt with according to the procedures in Senate Regulation Eleven: Regulations governing student discipline.

6.3.8 All enquiries into alleged misconduct (including formal investigation, if any) will be conducted on the basis of confidentiality within the process (wherever possible), as well as of integrity and non-detriment so that no party may suffer solely as a consequence of an allegation made in good faith.

6.3.9 The identity of the individual reporting serious research misconduct will be kept confidential wherever practicable. However, the identity of this individual may be revealed if, for example, it is deemed necessary in order to allow the person accused of misconduct to conduct their defence. If an anonymous complaint is received, the University will decide how to proceed, taking into account the nature and circumstances of the complaint.

6.3.10 A complaint may be made via an intermediary, but that intermediary must act solely as a conduit for the transfer of material between the complainant and the University, and must not seek to interfere with or influence in any way the intent or conduct of the case. Any person who is approached to act as intermediary who is not able to act in this manner should decline the request.

6.3.11 Where there is prima facie evidence that an allegation of research misconduct is made with vexatious or malicious intent, that allegation may be considered as a disciplinary matter. A complainant may be given an opportunity to respond if the allegation is not accepted and if the complainant believes that they have been misunderstood or key evidence overlooked.

---


65 Available from http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/policies/diff-sits/disc

66 Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/documents/Senatereg11-discipline.pdf
6.4 Notification of misconduct in research

6.4.1 The Research Councils UK Policy and Code of Conduct on the Governance of Good Research Conduct states that, once a formal investigation begins, “Where an investigation is about someone funded by or engaged with RCUK (including acting as a supervisor for an RCUK postgraduate student or engaged with peer review activities), even if it is about work not connected with a grant from a UK Research Council, the case is reported to the relevant Council at this stage; the Councils reserve the right to take appropriate action about any duties being performed for RCUK.” The University will comply with these requirements and notify RCUK of any allegations of misconduct which have proceeded to formal investigation.

6.4.2 The University will also comply with the regulations of any other research funder, professional association or similar body in the reporting of investigations or proven allegations of research misconduct.

6.5 Investigation of misconduct in research

6.5.1 Where an investigation involves an international collaborative project, the non-contractual OECD code for Investigating Research Misconduct Allegations in International Collaborative Research Projects will be used as a guide, where agreed with the member of staff under investigation.

6.5.2 Without prejudice to the presumption of innocence, the Chair of the Research Strategy, Policy and Performance Committee (in consultation with HR) will consider whether it would be appropriate to appoint a replacement supervisor for any researchers or other staff linked to an investigation, for the duration of any investigation, in order to protect their interests and that of the member(s) of staff under investigation.

6.5.3 Should the complainant, respondent or any key witnesses refuse to co-operate with an investigation, or leave the University during an investigation, the University will be responsible for deciding whether to continue with or terminate the investigation, taking into account the specific details of the case.

6.5.4 If during the investigation of a complaint, evidence of misconduct in research is found distinct from that forming the basis of the initial investigation, the University will be responsible for deciding whether or not to investigate further, either as part of the initial investigation or as a separate investigation.

6.6 Penalties for misconduct in research

6.6.1 If research misconduct is found following the completion of an investigation, supplemental penalties may be agreed in addition to any disciplinary or legal procedures. These may include:
- Retraction or correction of articles in published materials;
- Withdrawal or repayment of research funding;
- Notification to regulatory bodies and/or professional bodies, in particular if the concerns relate to Fitness to Practise;
- Notification to other employing institutions or organisations;
- Notification to other organisations involved in research including research funders;
- Notification to research participants, patients or their doctors;
- Review of internal management and or training and supervisory arrangements;
- The making of any public statement necessary to protect the good name and reputation of the University;
- Any actions necessary to safeguard research participants, patients and any other involved parties;
- Addressing and remedying any research misconduct that may have taken place;
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• Reporting on any procedural or organisational issues which should be reviewed by the institution; and/or
• Remedial training, mentoring and monitoring when the person(s) involved continue to work or study at the University.

6.6.2 The University reserves the right to report proven allegations of research misconduct against its staff, honorary and emeritus staff, former staff and current and former registered students to potential, new and subsequent employers. Where employees or students of another institution are involved in a collaborative research project with the University and are implicated in a University finding of serious research misconduct, the University reserves the right to notify the home institution of those involved.

7 Research Code of Conduct

Annexe A

I. University of Leicester policies, guidance and codes of practice

- University of Leicester, Statutes. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/governance/legislation/statutes/
- University of Leicester, Ordinances. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/governance/legislation/ordinances
- University of Leicester, Senate Regulations. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/sas2/regulations/senate-regulations
- University of Leicester, Purchasing Policy. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/finance/staff/regulations/4.-purchasing-policy
- University of Leicester, Whistleblowing Policy. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/governance/policies
- University of Leicester, Research Ethics Code of Practice. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/institution/ethics/code
- University of Leicester, Ethical Policy and Guidelines for the Acceptance and Refusal of Donations and Research and Enterprise Funding and Guidance Note on definition of philanthropic donations with worked examples. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/alumni/about/ethical/
- University of Leicester, Discipline (Ordinance Policy). Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/docs/policies/disc-ord-pol.pdf
- University of Leicester, Discipline (Ordinance Procedure). Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/hr/docs/policies/disc-ord-proc.pdf
- University of Leicester, Information Security Policy. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/ispolicy/
- University of Leicester, Data Protection Code of Practice. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/resources/policies/dpp/dp_codeofpractice.pdf
- University of Leicester Research Data Management Principles. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/documents/uol_rdmprinciples
- University of Leicester Research Data Management website. Available from: www.le.ac.uk/researchdata
- University of Leicester Data Planning guidance documents. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/services/research-data/advice-and-support/internal
- University of Leicester, Record Management Policy (and guidance documents). Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ias/records
- University of Leicester, Open Access Policy. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/library/for/researchers/publish/open-access
- University of Leicester, Policy for the Treatment and Governance of Intellectual Property. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/offices/ebd/documents/IP%20Policy.pdf
- University of Leicester and University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Memorandum of Understanding on Joint Working for Effective Research Governance. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/mou-with-uhl-nhs-trust-on-research-governance
- University of Leicester College of Medicine, Biological Sciences and Psychology Research Sponsorship Management and Operations Group, Standard Operational Procedures. Available from: http://www2.le.ac.uk/colleges/medbiopsych/research/researchgovernance/Research_sponsorship/standard-operational-procedures-and-related-forms
II. Research council policies, guidance and codes of practice

- Research Councils Open Access Policy. Available from: [http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/research/openaccess/](http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/research/openaccess/)
- Research Councils UK Terms and Conditions of fEC and training grants: [http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/funding/grantstcs/](http://www.rcuk.ac.uk/funding/grantstcs/)
- Natural Environment Research Council, *Good research conduct & research integrity*. Available from: [http://www.nerc.ac.uk/about/policy/policies/](http://www.nerc.ac.uk/about/policy/policies/)

III. Other relevant policies, guidance and codes of practice

Annexe B: UKRIO checklist for researchers